ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M-07039
Date Received: 04/07/11
Date Extracted: 04/08/11
Date Analyzed: 04/11/11
Matrix: Water
Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client: A
Project: M
Lab ID: 10

Alaskan Copper Works Metro Self Monitor M07039

Lab ID: 104064-01 x10
Data File: 104064-01 x10.014
Instrument: ICPMS1

Instrument: ICP Operator: AP

Internal Standard: Germanium

Analyte:

% Recovery: 96

Lower Limit: 60 Upper Limit: 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

 Chromium
 691

 Nickel
 744

 Copper
 565

 Zinc
 17.3

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Client: Alaskan Copper Works Date Received: Not Applicable Project: Metro Self Monitor M07039 04/08/11 Lab ID: Date Extracted: I1-263 mb Date Analyzed: 04/11/11 Data File: I1-263 mb.008 Instrument: ICPMS1 Matrix: Water ug/L (ppb) Units: AP Operator:

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 98 60 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1
Nickel <1
Copper <1
Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/11/11 Date Received: 04/07/11

Project: Metro Self Monitor M07039, F&BI 104064

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 104006-01 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	106	101	67-132	5
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.25	99	98	50-144	1
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	2.26	102	97	46-148	5
Arsenic	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	106	104	56-167	2

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent										
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance							
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria							
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	106	66-135							
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	106	66-134							
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	102	57-135							
Arsenic	ug/L (ppb)	10	99	55-128							

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

	104	064	SA	MPLE CHA	IN OF C	US	ro	DY	M	E	0	14 -	07	-1		· AI	2
Send Report To Sell		n Zev		SAMPLEAS	(eigrature)	_				2.				_		# #NAROUN	of
Company ACASKAN CORPER Works				PROJECT NAMENO. PO# METRO SELS MONITOR MOTOS?							0 0	Stant	dard (2 Wools	s)			
Address 628 5. HANSON City, State, ZIP SATTLE US 98/34										.	R	nsh ch	arges author	rized by:			
				REMARKS								-		AMPLE DIS			
														lo	Retu	nse after 30 d na samples	
Phone #206571-602	<u>o</u> Fax#⊘	6-506-9	1505											-		eall with inst	ructions
	-		1	1							LYS	ES R	EQU	EST	ED		
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by \$270	HFS	Ca Cu 120					Notes
m-07039	01	4/4/11	12:00	Hee	1					1		X					
<u> </u>								u C						2			
-								40	-		1						-
													J. T.				
				17										_			-
		1								25'			Ī				
Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West	Reinquish	SIGNATU	RE	6	PRINT			~~`	9	T		CO	MPA	_		DATE	TIME 1:35pm
Scattle, WA 98119-2029 Received by: Ph. (206) 285-8282 Refinquished by:		SERVE THOMPSON					F+BI				11	1/					
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:	5						-		1					l/	1	
ORMS\COC\COC.DOC				· F						-		Sa	ampl	es re	cciv	ed at _/5	<u>_°C</u>

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

April 11, 2011

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on April 7, 2011 from the Metro Self Monitor M07039, F&BI 104064 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0411R.DOC